

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 28 2008

Serial No. 10/786,306 9
Docket No. 2003P050463 (N.305)**REMARKS**

Claims 2-15 and 17-20 are all of the claims presently pending in the application.

Applicant has amended claims 5 and 19 to define the claimed invention more particularly.

Applicant believes that entry of these claim amendments is proper since no new issues are being presented to the Examiner, which would require further consideration and/or search.

It is noted that the claim amendments are made only for more particularly pointing out the invention, and not for distinguishing the invention over the prior art, narrowing the claims or for any statutory requirements of patentability. Further, Applicants specifically state that no amendment to any claim herein should be construed as a disclaimer of any interest in or right to an equivalent of any element or feature of the amended claim.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's indication that claims 6 and 20 are allowed. Applicant, however, respectfully submits that all of claims 2-15 and 17-20 are allowable.

Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10, 17, 18, and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0224830) in view of Ranta (U.S. Patent No. 6,751,485) and Fujiwara (JP 10327233). Claims 9 and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. in view of Ranta and Fujiwara and further in view of Paik et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,675,008). Claims 11, 13, 14, and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. in view of Ranta and Fujiwara and further in view of Mun et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0022659).

Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections in the following discussion.

I. THE CLAIMED INVENTION

Conventional portable telephone sets have been adapted to permit telephone calls by

Serial No. 10/786,306 10
Docket No. 2003P050463 (N.305)

reading out a telephone number of the opposite side of communication registered in a telephone diary stored in a memory part based on the corresponding names or titles of the opposite side. Other conventional portable telephone sets include a camera part in which images of the faces of the opposite side of communication can be picked up by the camera part and is stored in the memory part such that the face image is displayed on the display of the telephone set along with the opposite side data as name or telephone number.

In conventional portable telephone set communication systems, it is presently an indispensable convenient function to accumulate non-response call arrivals having not been responded to so as to permit a non-response call arrival history based on these data to be displayed on the display as desired by the user operation.

However, the conventional portable telephone sets, such as disclosed by Zhang, have not disclosed or suggested displaying the image of the face or the like of the opposite side of communication in the case of utilizing the above-mentioned non-response call arrival history or stored messages.

The claimed invention, on the other hand, provides a portable communication terminal set which, like a portable telephone set or a PDA, which can display the image of the face or the like of the opposite side even in the case of utilizing non-response call arrival history or stored messages.

II. THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES

A. Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10, and 17-19

Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10, and 17-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang in view of Ranta, and further in view of Fujiwara.

In the Office Action dated August 9, 2007 the Examiner concedes,

Serial No. 10/786,306 11
Docket No. 2003P050463 (N.305)

“Zhang et al, as modified by Ranta, fails to disclose wherein non-response call arrival history data concerning non-response call arrivals not responded in the radio part and priority order data defining the priority order of opposite side parties of communication are stored in the memory part under control by the control part, and in the initial stage of non-response call arrival check operation on the operation part, the image of image data among those stored in the memory part and corresponding to the opposite side party of communication of the highest priority order among the opposite side parties of communication among those corresponding to the non-response call arrival history data is displayed based on the non-response call arrival history data, priority order data and combination data stored in the memory part.” (See Office Action dated January 7, 2008 at pages 4-5).

The Examiner, however, alleges that Fujiwara makes up the deficiencies of Zhang and Ranta. The Examiner, however, is clearly incorrect.

Applicant submits that Fujiwara does not make up the deficiencies of Zhang and Ranta. Indeed, Fujiwara does not teach or suggest, *“the image of image data among those stored in the memory part and corresponding to the opposite side party of communication of the highest priority order among the opposite side parties of communication among those corresponding to the non-response call arrival history data is displayed, the image having various display sizes based on priority, based on the non-response call arrival history data, priority order data and combination data stored in the memory part”* (emphasis added by Applicant), as recited in exemplary claim 5 and similarly recited in exemplary claim 20.

Indeed, Fujiwara merely teaches generating a list of missed telephone calls and arranging a partner's telephone number in order of the time of day, which the call was received (e.g., see Fujiwara at paragraph [0024]). Indeed, Fujiwara does not provide the information based on priority order data, only on the order that the phone calls were received.

Even if Fujiwara were considered to teach displaying information based on priority

Serial No. 10/786,306 12
Docket No. 2003P050463 (N.305)

data, Fujiwara does not teach or suggest that the image of the image data has a display size that is dependent on the priority.

Therefore, Fujiwara fails to make up the deficiencies of Zhang and Ranta. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

B. Claims 9 and 12:

Claims 9 and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Zhang, Ranta, Fujiwara, and Paik.

Applicant respectfully submits that claims 9 and 12 are allowable for similar reasons to those set forth above with respect to claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10, and 17-19.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

C. Claims 11 and 13-15:

Claims 11 and 13-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Zhang, Ranta, Fujiwara, and Mun.

The Examiner alleges that the combination of Zhang, Ranta, and Mun disclose or suggest all of the features of the claimed invention.

Applicant respectfully submits that claims 11 and 13-15 are allowable for similar reasons to those set forth above with respect to claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10, and 17-19.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Serial No. 10/786,306 13
Docket No. 2003P050463 (N.305)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 28 2008

III. FORMAL MATTERS AND CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submit that claims 2-15 and 17-20, all of the claims presently pending in the application, are patentably distinct over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to pass the above application to issue at the earliest possible time.


Should the Examiner find the application to be other than in condition for allowance, Applicant requests the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the local telephone number listed below to discuss any other changes deemed necessary in a telephonic or personal interview.

The undersigned authorizes the Commissioner to charge any deficiency in fees or to credit any overpayment in fees to Attorney's Deposit Account No. 50-0481.

Date:

February 27, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,



Scott M. Tulino, Esq.
Registration No. 48,317

Sean M. McGinn, Esq.
Registration No. 34,386

**MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
LAW GROUP, PLLC**
8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200
Vienna, VA 22182-3817
(703) 761-4100
Customer No. 21254

Serial No. 10/786,306 14
Docket No. 2003P050463 (N.305)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER


FEB 28 2008

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that I am filing this paper via facsimile, to Group Art Unit 2617, at
(571) 273-8300, on February 28, 2008.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: February 28, 2008



Scott M. Tulino, Esq.
Reg. No. 48,317

Sean M. McGinn, Esq.
Reg. No. 34,386